

AGENDA

Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Advisory Committee



Date: Wednesday, July 13, 2016
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Location: Jefferson Conference Room, RVCOG 155 N. 1st Street, Central Point
Transit: served by RVTD Route #40
Phone: Sue Casavan, RVCOG, 541-423-1360
RVMPO website : www.rvmpos.org

- 1. Call to Order/Introductions/Review Agenda Mike Kuntz, Chair**
- 2. Review/Approve Summary Minutes (Attachment #1).....Chair**
- 3. Public Comment (Items not on the Agenda).....Chair**

Action Items:

- 4. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) 2017-2042 Financial Forecasts Dan Moore**

Background: The TAC is being asked to review the 2017-42 RTP financial forecasts and make a recommendation to the Policy Committee for approval.

Attachments: #2 – Memo

Action Requested: Forward recommendation to the Policy Committee.

- 5. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) 2017-2042 Project Lists..... Dan Moore**

Background: Staff coordinated with RVMPO member jurisdictions to identify short, medium and long range projects to be included in the 2017–2042 RTP. The draft project lists are included with the agenda packet. The proposed project list needs to be reconciled in order to meet financial constraint. The attached memo explains the situation. Also attached is a proposed draft Tier 2 project list.

Attachments: #3 – Memo and Short, Medium, Long Range, and Tier 2 projects lists

Action Requested: Staff requests the TAC make a recommendation to the Policy Committee on the proposed 2017-42 financially-constrained project list.

- 6. MPO Planning UpdateDan Moore**
 - **RVMPPO TAC quorum discussion**
- 7. Public Comment..... Chair**
- 8. Other Business / Local Business Chair**
 Opportunity for RVMPPO member jurisdictions to talk about transportation planning projects.
- 9. Adjournment Chair**

- The next regularly scheduled RVMPPO TAC Committee meeting: Wednesday, August 10, at 1:30 p.m. in the Jefferson Conference Room, RVCOG, Central Point.
- The next RVMPPO Policy Committee meeting is scheduled for July 26, at 2:00 p.m. in the Jefferson Conference Room, RVCOG, Central Point.
- The next RVMPPO PAC meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, July 19, at 5:30 p.m. in the Jefferson Conference Room, RVCOG, Central Point.



SUMMARY MINUTES

*Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization
Technical Advisory Committee*

May 11, 2016

The following people were in attendance:

RVMPO Technical Advisory Committee

Voting Members in Attendance:

Mike Kuntz	Jackson County
Alex Georgevitch	City of Medford
Jon Sullivan, Vice Chairman	RVTD
Josh LeBombard	DLCD
John Adam	Medford
Robert Miller	City of Eagle Point
Paige Townsend	RVTD
Tom Humphrey	City of Central Point
Ian Horlacher	ODOT
Matt Brinkley	Phoenix
Kelli Sparkman	ODOT

RVCOG Staff

Dan Moore, Bunny Lincoln, Ryan MacLaren, Dick Converse, Andrea Napoli.

1. Call to Order / Introductions

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m. Those present introduced themselves.

2. Review / Approve Minutes

The Chairman asked committee members if there were any additions or corrections to the April, 2016 meeting minutes.

On a motion by Alex Georgevitch, seconded by Paige Townsend, the April, 2016 minutes were approved as presented by unanimous voice vote.

3. Public Comment

No public comment was forthcoming.

Action Items:

4. Regional Plan/Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendment

Ryan MacLaren shared that the TAC is being asked to make recommendations to the Policy Committee on the proposed RTP/TIP amendments. The 21-day public comment period and public hearing will be advertised on or before June 7th in the Medford Tribune and available on the RVMPO website. Amendments include:

A. Amendment to RTP & TIP: Stevens Road - East Main Street to Palima Drive (KN19230)

Description: Advance construction phase from FFY 2018 to FFY 2017.

Total (all sources) = \$2,715,414.

Rob Miller expressed concern about future availability of CMAQ funding. Kelly Sparkman recommended tabling the project until more funding information is known. Future scenarios are also somewhat unclear. Eagle Point was comfortable with withdrawing this request at the present time.

B. Add New Project to RTP & TIP: RVMPO Planning (KN)

Description: RVMPO planning funds.

Planning	Design
Land Purchase	Utility Relocate
Construction	2017 Other
Total (all sources) = \$293,523	

C. Add New Project to RTP & TIP: RVMPO FTA 5303 (KN)

Description: RVMPO FTA 5303 funds.

Planning	Design
Land Purchase	Utility Relocate
Construction	
2017 Other	\$ 83,738 \$ 9,584 Local \$ 93,322 \$ 93,322
Total FFY15-18	\$ 83,738 \$ 9,584
Total (all sources) = \$ 93,322	

On a motion by Alex Georgevitch, seconded by John Adam, New Project to RTP & TIP: RVMPO Planning (KN) Description: RVMPO FTA 5303 funds were unanimously recommended for Policy Committee approval.

On a motion by Alex Georgevitch, seconded by Tom Humphrey, Add New Project to RTP & TIP: RVMPO FTA 5303 (KN) Description: RVMPO FTA 5303 funds were unanimously recommended for Policy Committee approval.

5. Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ)/Surface Transportation (STP) Project

Andrea Napoli presented materials on the updated project selection process for the Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds and the schedule for the 2018-21 TIP development. The tentative deadline for project applications is September 2, 2016.

The TAC briefly discussed the Alternative Measures “weigh in” process, and voiced the opinion that the issue should be handled by the Policy Committee. The adopted RTP has not been revised to cover this. Paige Townsend said RVTD hasn’t done their analysis, and they view the current Alternative Measures as being applicable at this time, RVTD is working on securing sustainable transit funding through several sources. Kelli Sparkman favored leaving the current figures as projected. Alex Georgevitch said that Medford will submit ten (!) projects. He felt that STBG funds should be left as presented, but asterisked and left out of the calculations because the figures may change. He also felt it was odd to be asking for CMAQ project applications when funding amounts are unclear.

Staff is creating the draft 2017-21 TIP, and now is the time to submit projects. The concept of amending the TIP to wait for specific funding was brought up. Agencies draft projects to fit the available funding. The Chairman asked staff what was mandated to meet the 2017-21 programs.

The next funding solicitation will occur in two (2) years.

The TAC is being asked to concur with the project application deadline, and to review and revise the draft project application, scoring matrix and other associated materials as deemed necessary.

The TAC members held a discussion on the mechanics, timing deadlines and feasibility of shifting the application process further out than the current schedule.

Alex Georgevitch said that there should be a minimum amount set for **construction** project applications involving federal funding. The Committee concurred that \$500,000 was a suitable amount. Staff will add that information to the packets, and check the document bullets for redundancy.

The draft application materials included:

- **Purpose – Including funding availability.** Alternative Measures have not been analyzed yet, but are still in place.
- **Application Checklist**
- **Schedule**
- **Application Process**

Project applications will be reviewed in a three-step process prior to consideration by the Policy Committee:

Step 1: Determine Project Funding Eligibility.

Each fund source has a set of qualification rules, which are described below. Applicants should review rules and may consult with RVMPO staff to determine eligibility prior to filling out an application. Applications will be reviewed by RVMPO staff in consultation with FHWA and ODOT to determine initial eligibility. Information provided by applicant must be sufficient to enable staff to determine initial eligibility; the application is designed to provide necessary information.

Step 2: Initial Project Evaluation.

This step also will be conducted by RVMPO staff, using the *Goals and Project Funding Criteria* table on page 10 of the application packet. Staff will evaluate candidate projects based on the extent to which they would contribute to meeting RVMPO goals, the goals of the Regional Transportation Plan and federal planning requirements, as summarized in the Funding Criteria table.

Step 3: RVMPO Committee and Public Review.

RVMPO advisory committees (Technical Advisory Committee, Public Advisory Council) in public meetings will review and discuss applications and staff evaluations, consider comments from applicants and the public, and make funding recommendations to the Policy Committee. Recommendations and comments from the advisory committees and public will be forwarded to the Policy Committee at its public meeting to make tentative funding decisions. Those decisions will go into the draft 2018-2021 MTIP, and be subject to a public hearing by the Policy Committee.

- **Qualifying for Federal Funds**
- **Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Program**
- **Application Instructions – by Section** (Andrea Napoli explained the changes to the RVMPO Evaluation Measures – Goals & Project Funding Criteria, and TAC members pointed out some additional language needing to be refined.)
- **Applicant and Project Information**
- **Cost Estimate and Funding Requested Project Evaluation Criteria**
- **Project Funding Application**

Staff will report to the Policy Committee that the TAC recommends waiting to move forward until CMAQ funding amounts are known.

6. Central Point Conceptual Land Use & Transportation Plan (CP-3)

Tom Humphrey shared Central Point's Conceptual Transportation and Land Use Plan for its Future Growth Area CP-3, identified as an Urban Reserve in the Greater Bear Creek Valley Regional Plan. The Performance Indicators in the Plan require Central Point to collaborate with the RVMPO in preparing the Conceptual Plan. The Planners group monitoring implementation of the Regional Plan determined that the TAC is the appropriate body to consider the plan. The RVMPO Planning Program Manager will communicate the TAC decision to the Policy Committee.

The concept plan is a general guide for future UGB expansions.

Mr. Humphrey went over the gross acreage, Transportation plans and Implementation Guidelines. Jackson County, ODOT and the Naumes Company own the 36 acres in CP-3. Part of the land is subject to flood a plain designation. A map of the Eastside TOD was included. The City may seek to enlarge the TOD to include CP-3. Several transportation and zoning scenarios were shown, along with a series of conclusions and maps. Several Bear Creek Greenway access scenarios were discussed. The TAC members offered comments on current transportation issues, as related to current congestion and future road configurations. Penninger Lane was a particular concern.

Dick Converse will draft a letter for the Policy Committee.

Discussion Items:

7. CMAQ Funding Issues

Dan Moore shared information about the changes to the CMAQ funding eligible jurisdictions, ODOT recently updated the RVMPO CMAQ-funded project balance spreadsheet and it shows that the RVMPO will have a deficit of approximately \$682,000 by FY 2018. I am working with ODOT to identify the reason for this deficit. It appears that the RVMPO did not receive anticipated allotments of CMAQ funds (see Table 1). Table 1 lists, by year, the amounts of CMAQ funds programmed by the RVMPO and then allocated to local projects approved by the Policy Committee. RVMPO CMAQ allocations from the 15-18 STIP were also included. There are no entries for FYs 2016, 2017 & 2018. Documents show that fewer funds were allocated to the RVMPO than those shown for FYs 14 & 15. Mr. Moore asked ODOT to verify the CMAQ allocations for the RVMPO for FYs 16, 17 & 18. ODOT's guidance at this point is to go ahead with the programmed CMAQ projects through 2018 and reduce the 2019, 2020 and 2021 CMAQ allocations by \$682,216.

A. FHWA recently confirmed that Salem and Eugene are now eligible for CMAQ funds. This will require an update to the current funding formula. ODOT provided an example of what the new allocation could look like. Under the scenario, the RVMPO's CMAQ funds would go from \$2,465,053/year to \$1,307,833/year. The ODOT provides more details for discussion.

B. ODOT recently updated the RVMPO CMAQ-funded project balance spreadsheet and it shows a deficit of \$682,216 by 2018. This is due to the RVMPO not receiving anticipated CMAQ funding allotments. Staff is working with ODOT to identify the reason for the funding shortfalls. Mr. Moore went over the makeup of the Advisory Committee.

Other materials provided for the Committee included:

- Email Memo: Mac McGregor, ODOT CMAQ Funding Allocation Update;
- Memo: RVMPO CMAQ Funding – Allocation Shortfalls;
- Excel Spreadsheets: CMAQ Funding for RVMPO 3-31-16 & CMAQ Funding for RVMPO 3-31-16 with Cuts.

7. MPO Planning Update

- The consultants have just completed the category figures that will go into the TPAU model. A working RTP will be available in November.
- Staff is looking at ways to encourage attendance at the TAC meetings in order to assure that a quorum is present. Staff will create a letter to be sent to the general TAC membership by the Chairman.
- A request has been received to provide some Ashland residents with more information on the proposed Nevada Street extension and bridge.

8. Public Comment

None received.

9. Other Business / Local Business

- **RVD Saturday service returns on July 9, 2016**

10. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3: p.m.

Scheduled Meetings:

- | | | |
|----------------|---------------|---------|
| • RVMPO TAC | July 13, 2016 | 1:30 PM |
| • RVMPO Policy | June 28 ,2016 | 2:00 PM |
| • RVMPO PAC | July 19, 2016 | 5:30 PM |



Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization

Regional Transportation Planning

*Ashland • Central Point • Eagle Point • Jacksonville • Medford • Phoenix • Talent • White City
Jackson County • Rogue Valley Transportation District • Oregon Department of Transportation*

DATE: July 6, 2016
 TO: RVMPO Technical Advisory Committee
 FROM: Dan Moore, AICP, Planning Program Manager
 SUBJECT: Draft 2017 – 2042 RTP Financial Forecasts

The purpose of this memo is to provide the TAC with an overview of the financial forecasts for the 2017–2042 RTP Update. Financial data for the RVMPO street system is compiled from ODOT Planning Section, Long-Range Planning Unit (February 2013), ODOT Region 3, and RVMPO member jurisdictions. Street system revenues identified in the financial forecast include:

Revenue Sources	Type
Federal	STP
	CMAQ
State (ODOT)	Highway Fund
	Enhance & Fix-It
	Maintenance, Operations, Safety & Preservation
Local Jurisdictions	Street Utility Fees (SUF's)
	System Development Charges (SDC's)
	Other (Urban Renewal, developer fees, etc)

The forecasts are divided into short, medium and long range timeframes of the 2017-2042 RTP. Short Range forecasts include all committed (in the 2015-18 TIP) federal funds for 2015 to 2018 (see Table 3 – RVMPO Revenue Summary 2017-42). Proposed RVMPO Reserve Funds which include; Enhance & Fix-It, STP and CMAQ are depicted in Table 4. Reserve funds can be used for medium and long range projects that are in need of funding. RVTD's financial forecasts are depicted in Tables 6 – 9.

The TAC is being asked to review Tables 1 through 9 and to be prepared to discuss the proposed financial summary included in Table 3, the revenue and expenditure assumptions described in Table 5, and RVTD's financial information in Tables 6 - 9.

Table 1 – Highway Funds

State Funds Distribution in Millions					
	Year	State Share 59.5%	County Share 24.52%	City Share 15.98%	Total
Short	2017	\$ 676	\$ 279	\$ 182	\$ 1,137
	2018	\$ 691	\$ 285	\$ 186	\$ 1,162
	2019	\$ 707	\$ 291	\$ 190	\$ 1,188
	2020	\$ 723	\$ 298	\$ 194	\$ 1,215
	2021	\$ 739	\$ 305	\$ 198	\$ 1,242
Medium	2022	\$ 756	\$ 311	\$ 203	\$ 1,270
	2023	\$ 773	\$ 319	\$ 208	\$ 1,299
	2024	\$ 790	\$ 326	\$ 212	\$ 1,328
	2025	\$ 808	\$ 333	\$ 217	\$ 1,359
	2026	\$ 827	\$ 341	\$ 222	\$ 1,390
	2027	\$ 846	\$ 349	\$ 227	\$ 1,422
	2028	\$ 865	\$ 357	\$ 232	\$ 1,455
	2029	\$ 885	\$ 365	\$ 238	\$ 1,488
	2030	\$ 906	\$ 373	\$ 243	\$ 1,523
	2031	\$ 927	\$ 382	\$ 249	\$ 1,558
Long	2032	\$ 949	\$ 391	\$ 255	\$ 1,595
	2033	\$ 971	\$ 400	\$ 261	\$ 1,632
	2034	\$ 994	\$ 410	\$ 267	\$ 1,671
	2035	\$ 1,017	\$ 419	\$ 273	\$ 1,710
	2036	\$ 1,041	\$ 429	\$ 280	\$ 1,750
	2037	\$ 1,066	\$ 439	\$ 286	\$ 1,792
	2038	\$ 1,092	\$ 450	\$ 293	\$ 1,835
	2039	\$ 1,118	\$ 461	\$ 300	\$ 1,879
	2040	\$ 1,145	\$ 472	\$ 307	\$ 1,924
	2041	\$ 1,159	\$ 478	\$ 311	\$ 1,949
	2042	\$ 1,175	\$ 484	\$ 315	\$ 1,974
		\$ 23,647	\$ 9,746	\$ 6,352	\$ 39,745

Table 2 – Highway Funds Distribution

State Funds Distribution to Cities \$ x 1,000				PSU 2015 Pop Incorp Cities	MPO % of Incorp Statewide Total*	RVMPO Jurisdiction % of MPO Total Population
Time Frame	Short	Medium	Long			
Years	2017 - 2021	2022 - 2030	2031 - 2042			
Total City Share	\$949,812	\$2,003,010	\$3,399,038	2,776,867	6.0%	
Ashland	\$6,979	\$14,719	\$24,977	20,405	0.0073	0.1229
Talent	\$2,145	\$4,523	\$7,675	6,270	0.0023	0.0378
Phoenix	\$1,568	\$3,307	\$5,612	4,585	0.0017	0.0276
Jacksonville	\$985	\$2,077	\$3,525	2,880	0.0010	0.0174
Medford	\$26,561	\$56,014	\$95,054	77,655	0.0280	0.4679
Central Point	\$5,981	\$12,612	\$21,403	17,485	0.0063	0.1053
Eagle Point	\$2,974	\$6,272	\$10,643	8,695	0.0031	0.0524
Jackson Cty	\$10,166	\$21,438	\$36,380	27,998	0.0070	0.1687
*Includes Rural Jackson County population within MPO				165,973	6.0%	100.0%
Total City Share = Total of all funds available to incorporated cities in Oregon						
Current Law - RVMPO City Share = % of city's population divided by incorporated cities total population						
e.g., Ashland population - 20,405 / 2,776,867 = 0.0073 * \$182 million (2017 current law + Additional Funds) = \$1.337 million						

11
Table 3 – RVMPO Revenue Summary 2013-38

Jurisdiction	Time Frame	Street System Revenues (\$ x 1,000)						Non-Capital Needs	Capital Funds Avail.	Tier 1 Regional & Federally Funded Projects	RVMPO Future Discretionary Funds
		Federal	State	Local			Total				
				SDC's	Fees	Other					
Ashland	short	\$2,789	\$6,979	\$289	\$8,392	\$855	\$19,305	\$13,670	\$5,635	\$7,516	\$1,881
	medium		\$14,719	\$619	\$19,272	\$1,539	\$36,149	\$29,650	\$6,499	\$1,184	\$0
	long		\$24,977	\$1,072	\$36,991	\$2,052	\$65,091	\$52,338	\$12,754	\$10,517	\$0
Central Point	short	\$0	\$5,981	\$921	\$2,597	\$8,000	\$17,499	\$3,706	\$13,793	\$0	\$0
	medium		\$12,612	\$1,906	\$5,374	\$11,750	\$31,643	\$8,220	\$23,422	\$5,259	\$0
	long		\$21,403	\$3,132	\$8,831	\$0	\$33,366	\$14,984	\$18,382	\$10,021	\$0
Eagle Point	short	\$4,287	\$2,974	\$200	\$1,740	\$0	\$9,201	\$3,816	\$5,385	\$4,618	\$0
	medium		\$6,272	\$428	\$3,728	\$0	\$10,428	\$8,177	\$2,251	\$10,633	\$8,382
	long		\$10,643	\$741	\$6,452	\$0	\$17,836	\$14,152	\$3,684	\$43,800	\$40,116
Jacksonville	short	\$0	\$985	\$58	\$750	\$0	\$1,793	\$1,579	\$215	\$0	\$0
	medium		\$2,077	\$124	\$1,453	\$0	\$3,654	\$3,170	\$485	\$0	\$0
	long		\$3,525	\$214	\$2,026	\$0	\$5,766	\$4,979	\$787	\$0	\$0
Medford	short	\$9,730	\$26,561	\$4,513	\$26,890	\$750	\$68,444	\$55,355	\$13,089	\$12,475	\$0
	medium		\$56,014	\$10,011	\$53,746	\$1,350	\$121,121	\$115,851	\$5,270	\$26,662	\$21,392
	long		\$95,054	\$18,247	\$83,836	\$1,800	\$198,937	\$195,636	\$3,300	\$65,650	\$62,350
Phoenix	short	\$0	\$1,568	\$424	\$681	\$0	\$2,673	\$2,281	\$392	\$1,197	\$805
	medium		\$3,307	\$973	\$1,564	\$595	\$6,439	\$4,888	\$1,551	\$22,000	\$20,448
	long		\$5,612	\$3,475	\$5,586	\$1,807	\$16,480	\$15,245	\$1,235	\$770	\$0
Talent	short	\$0	\$2,145	\$352	\$673	\$500	\$3,670	\$2,334	\$1,336	\$0	\$0
	medium		\$4,523	\$731	\$1,397	\$0	\$6,650	\$5,001	\$1,650	\$5,040	\$3,390
	long		\$7,675	\$1,141	\$2,181	\$0	\$10,997	\$8,655	\$2,342	\$7,630	\$5,288
Jackson Co. (RVMPO Area)	short	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$9,253	\$10,634	\$1,381
	medium	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$4,000	\$6,441	\$2,441
	long	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$6,600	\$77,245	\$70,645
ODOT (RVMPO Area)	short	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$180,630	\$180,630	\$0
	medium	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$22,000	\$22,000	\$0
	long	These figures are not applicable to the MPO area - see assumptions table.							\$33,000	\$33,000	\$0
Street System Totals		\$255,325	\$315,607	\$49,571	\$274,159	\$30,998	\$687,141	\$563,685	\$378,939	\$564,922	\$238,519

12
Table 4 – Reserve Funds

CMAQ (\$ X 1,000)			STP (\$ X 1,000)					Enhance - It (\$ X 1,000)			
YEAR	Total CMAQ	Available CMAQ (by time frame)	YEAR	Total STP	RVTD 50% STP	Available STP	Available STP (by time frame)	YEAR	Total Enhance	Available Enhance (by time frame)	
2017	Funds Committed to 2018		2017	Funds Committed to 2018				2017	\$0	Funds are Committed to 2018	
2018			2018					2018	\$0		
2019			\$1,308	2019	\$1,990	\$995		\$995	2019	\$1,620	
2020			\$1,331	2020	\$2,036	\$1,018		\$1,018	2020	\$1,620	
2021	\$1,355	\$3,995	2021	\$2,083	\$1,041	\$1,041	\$3,054	2021	\$1,620	\$4,860	
2022	\$1,380		2022	\$2,131	\$1,065	\$1,065		2022	\$1,620		
2023	\$1,405		2023	\$2,180	\$1,090	\$1,090		2023	\$1,620		
2024	\$1,430		2024	\$2,230	\$1,115	\$1,115		2024	\$1,620		
2025	\$1,456		2025	\$2,281	\$1,140	\$1,140		2025	\$1,620		
2026	\$1,482		2026	\$2,333	\$1,167	\$1,167		2026	\$1,620		
2027	\$1,508		2027	\$2,387	\$1,194	\$1,194		2027	\$1,620		
2028	\$1,536		2028	\$2,442	\$1,221	\$1,221		2028	\$1,620		
2029	\$1,563		2029	\$2,498	\$1,249	\$1,249		2029	\$1,620		
2030	\$1,591	\$13,350	2030	\$2,556	\$1,278	\$1,278	\$10,518	2030	\$1,620	\$14,580	
2031	\$1,620		2031	\$2,614	\$1,307	\$1,307	TMA in 2030	2031	\$1,620		
2032	\$1,649		2032	\$2,675	\$1,337	\$1,337		2032	\$1,620		
2033	\$1,679		2033	\$2,736	\$1,368	\$1,368		2033	\$1,620		
2034	\$1,709		2034	\$2,799	\$1,399	\$1,399		2034	\$1,620		
2035	\$1,740		2035	\$2,863	\$1,432	\$1,432		2035	\$1,620		
2036	\$1,771		2036	\$2,929	\$1,465	\$1,465		2036	\$1,620		
2037	\$1,803		2037	\$2,997	\$1,498	\$1,498		2037	\$1,620		
2038	\$1,836		2038	\$3,065	\$1,533	\$1,533		2038	\$1,620		
2039	\$1,869		2039	\$3,136	\$1,568	\$1,568		2039	\$1,620		
2040	\$1,902		2040	\$3,208	\$1,604	\$1,604		2040	\$1,620		
2041	\$1,936		2041	\$3,282	\$1,641	\$1,641		2041	\$1,620		
2042	\$1,971	\$21,485	2042	\$3,357	\$1,679	\$1,679	\$17,831	2042	\$1,620	\$19,440	
	\$38,830	\$38,830		\$62,807	\$31,403	\$31,403	\$31,403		\$38,880	\$38,880	
1.8% annual increase			2.3% annual increase		50% of STP funds to go to RVTD to meet Alternative Measure #7			\$1.62M/year available for eligible projects in Jackson & Josephine Counties. Competitive project selection process. Some projects may not be eligible for funding. Criteria may change.			

13
Table 5 – Revenue & Expenditure Assumptions

Jurisdiction	Revenues						Non-Capital Needs	Capital Funds Avail.
	Federal	State	RVTD	SDC's	Local Street/Utility Fees (SUFs)	Other		
Ashland				SDC's are expected to be about \$55K in 2017 and increase at 2.5% through 2042.	Street Utility Fees are expected to be about \$1,565K in 2017 and increase by 3.5% per year through 2042.	Other revenues include intergovernmental and misc. and are expected to average about \$171K per year.	2017 expenses include: admin (\$1.1M), maintenance (\$1.4M) and RVTD bus passes (\$50K). An annual increase of 3% and 2.5% is assumed for admin&maintenance expenses, respectively, through 2042.	
Central Point	ODOT (2013) estimates that approximately \$39 million in Enhance funds will be available to the RVMPO from 2019-2042.			SDC's are expected to be about \$177K in 2017 and increase by about 2% per year through 2042.	Street Utility Fees are expected to be \$499K in 2017 and increase by 2.5% per year until 2042.	Other revenues are expected to be \$8M Short Range, \$11.7M Medium Range and \$0 Long Range. Revenues are from developer and urban renewal contributions.	2017 expenses include administration and maintenance (\$698K). An annual increase of 3% has been assumed for these expenses through 2042.	
Eagle Point	estimates that \$1.3 million in CMAQ funds will be available to the RVMPO from 2016-2042 (RVMPO used a 1.8% annual increase (funds for 2017-2018 already committed).	ODOT (February 2011) provided estimates for Hwy Funds for 2017-2042 for total MPO area: \$58M - Short Range \$121M - Medium Range \$205M - Long Range Total City Share = Total of all funds available to incorporated cities in Oregon.	Revenues: 5307 - \$2.5 in 2017, 3.5% annual increase. Title XIX - \$330K in 2017, 2% annual increase. TDM - \$140K in 2017, 1% annual increase. STF - \$667K in 2017, 5% annual increase. In-Lieu-of Tax - \$303K in 2017, 1% annual increase. Property Taxes - \$2.3M in 2017, 3% annual increase. Farebox - \$1.2M in 2017, 3% annual increase. RVMPO STP - 50% of RVMPO projected STP out to 2042. 5309 - \$3.2M in 2017(ODOT long range financial projections). 5310 - \$703K annually. Expenditures: Operations - \$4.2M in 2017, 5% annual increase. Alt Operations - \$2M in 2017, 4% annual increase. Maintenance - \$2.5M in 2017, 4% annual increase. Admin - \$1M in 2017, 4% annual increase. Capital Projects: amounts vary per year (see RVTD funding tables).	SDC's are expected to be about \$38K in 2017 and increase at 2.5% per year.	Street Utility Fees are expected to be about \$331K in 2017 and increase by 2.5% per year.	No other revenues are expected between 2017 and 2042.	2017 expenses include: admin (\$299K) and maintenance (\$427K). An annual increase of 2.5% is assumed for these expenses through 2042.	
Jacksonville	estimates that \$63 million in STP funds will be available to the RVMPO from 2019-2042 @ 2.3% annual increase (funds for 2017-2018 already committed). 50% of these funds have been committed to transit (RVTD) through the year 2042. \$3M in STP remains unprogrammed through the short-range (through 2021). Short-range unprogrammed STP, as well as all medium and long-range STP funds are assumed to be available for projects included in the RTP. Other federal sources have been assumed for the short-range period only. These include CMAQ (\$4M), Transportation Enhancement (\$4.84M).	Current Law - RVMPO City Share = % of city's population divided by incorporated cities total population e.g., Ashland population - 20,405 / 2,776,867 = 0.0073 * \$182 million (2017 current law) = \$1.3 million Current Law - Jackson County City Share (population within RVMPO) = % of population divided by incorporated cities total population		SDC's are expected to be about \$11K per in 2017 and increase at 2.5% per year.	Franchise Fees are expected to be about \$147K in 2017 and increase by 1.0% per year.	There are no "other" revenues expected.	Expenses include: admin (\$39K) and maintenance (\$267K). An annual increase of 2% has been assumed for admin and 1.5% for maintenance to 2042.	
Medford				SDC's are expected to be about \$850K in 2017 with a 3% annual increase.	Street Utility Fees are expected to be about \$5.2M in 2017 with a 1.5% annual increase per year thereafter.	Other revenues include plan review fees at \$150K per year.	Expenses include: admin, maintenance and debt service. Short Range - \$55M; Medium Range - \$115M and Long Range - \$195M	Capital funds available for cities in the RVMPO equal the amounts in the "Revenues" column minus the amounts in the "Non-Capital Needs" column.
Phoenix				SDC's are expected to be about \$79K in 2017 and increase at an average of 3.5% per year.	Street Utility Fees are expected to be about \$127K in 2017 and increase by about 3.5% per year.	Includes \$595K in developer contributions in medium range and \$1.807M from Urban Renewal in long range.	2017 expenses include: admin (\$41K) and maintenance (\$393K). An annual increase of 2.5% has been assumed for these expenses through 2042.	
Talent				SDC's are expected to be about \$67K in 2017 and increase at 1.5% per year out to 2042.	SUFs are expected to be about \$128K in 2017 and increase 1.5%/yr out to 2042.	Medium-range includes \$500K in urban renewal funds.	2017 expenses include: admin (\$135K) and maintenance (\$309K). An annual increase of 2.5% has been assumed for these expenses through 2042.	
Jackson Co. (MPO Area)	Based on historic allocations, capital funding availability is assumed to be \$4 million per year in short term years, \$5 million in medium term years, and \$6 million in long-term years. Added to short-term funding availability is: \$7.3 million for Table Rock Rd - I - 5 Crossing to Biddle; and 180k for Active Transportation Plan.							
ODOT (MPO Area)	Short term (2017-2021) project funding is \$180,630,000. Medium term (2022-2030) project funding is \$22,000,000. Long term (2031-2042) project funding is not reported. Funding for Interstate maintenance, operations, safety, and preservation at \$3,540,000/year.							

14
Table 6 – RTVD Revenue Assumptions

Tier 1 Revenues		Short	Medium	Long										
Revenues X 1,000														
Year	5307	Title XIX	TDM/Ride	STF	In-Lieu-of	Prop Tax	Special Levy	Farebox	STP	Special Project Grants	5309 & Capital	5310	Fund Balance Reserves	TOTALS
2016	\$2,400	\$324	\$139	\$635	\$300	\$2,280	\$1,865	\$1,165	\$925	\$523	\$3,294	\$730	\$6,750	\$21,330
2017	\$2,484	\$330	\$140	\$667	\$303	\$2,348	\$1,921	\$1,200	\$944	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$6,750	\$17,817
2018	\$2,571	\$337	\$142	\$700	\$306	\$2,419	\$1,979	\$1,236	\$962	\$0	\$2,000	\$730	\$6,750	\$20,132
2019	\$2,661	\$344	\$143	\$735	\$309	\$2,491	\$2,038	\$1,273	\$982	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$6,750	\$18,456
2020	\$2,754	\$351	\$145	\$772	\$312	\$2,566	\$2,099	\$1,311	\$1,001	\$0	\$2,000	\$730	\$6,750	\$20,791
2021	\$2,850	\$358	\$146	\$810	\$315	\$2,643	\$0	\$1,351	\$1,021	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$6,400	\$16,625
2022	\$2,950	\$365	\$148	\$851	\$318	\$2,722	\$0	\$1,391	\$1,042	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$4,000	\$15,517
2023	\$3,053	\$372	\$149	\$894	\$322	\$2,804	\$0	\$1,433	\$1,063	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$1,200	\$12,019
2024	\$3,160	\$380	\$151	\$938	\$325	\$2,888	\$0	\$1,476	\$1,084	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$12,131
2025	\$3,271	\$387	\$152	\$985	\$328	\$2,975	\$0	\$1,520	\$1,105	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$11,454
2026	\$3,385	\$395	\$154	\$1,034	\$331	\$3,064	\$0	\$1,566	\$1,128	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$12,787
2027	\$3,504	\$403	\$155	\$1,086	\$335	\$3,156	\$0	\$1,613	\$1,150	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$12,131
2028	\$3,627	\$411	\$157	\$1,140	\$338	\$3,251	\$0	\$1,661	\$1,173	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$13,487
2029	\$3,753	\$419	\$158	\$1,197	\$341	\$3,348	\$0	\$1,711	\$1,197	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$12,855
2030	\$3,885	\$428	\$160	\$1,257	\$345	\$3,449	\$0	\$1,762	\$1,221	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$14,236
2031	\$4,021	\$436	\$161	\$1,320	\$348	\$3,552	\$0	\$1,815	\$1,245	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$13,629
2032	\$4,162	\$445	\$163	\$1,386	\$352	\$3,659	\$0	\$1,869	\$1,270	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$15,035
2033	\$4,307	\$454	\$165	\$1,455	\$355	\$3,768	\$0	\$1,926	\$1,295	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$14,456
2034	\$4,458	\$463	\$166	\$1,528	\$359	\$3,882	\$0	\$1,983	\$1,321	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$15,890
2035	\$4,614	\$472	\$168	\$1,605	\$362	\$3,998	\$0	\$2,043	\$1,348	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$15,339
2036	\$4,775	\$481	\$170	\$1,685	\$366	\$4,118	\$0	\$2,104	\$1,375	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$16,804
2037	\$4,943	\$491	\$171	\$1,769	\$370	\$4,241	\$0	\$2,167	\$1,402	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$16,285
2038	\$5,116	\$501	\$173	\$1,858	\$373	\$4,369	\$0	\$2,232	\$1,430	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	\$0	\$17,782
2039	\$5,295	\$511	\$175	\$1,950	\$377	\$4,500	\$0	\$2,299	\$1,459	\$0	\$0	\$730	\$0	\$17,296
2040	\$5,480	\$521	\$176	\$2,048	\$381	\$4,635	\$0	\$2,368	\$1,488	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	0	\$18,827
2041	\$5,672	\$532	\$178	\$2,150	\$385	\$4,774	\$0	\$2,439	\$1,518	\$0	\$0	\$730	0	\$18,377
2042	\$5,870	\$542	\$180	\$2,258	\$389	\$4,917	\$0	\$2,512	\$1,548	\$0	\$1,000	\$730	0	\$19,946
Totals	\$102,622	\$11,128	\$4,145	\$34,080	\$8,946	\$90,538	\$8,037	\$46,262	\$31,768	\$0	\$15,000	\$18,980	\$38,600	\$410,105
Assumptions	3.5% annual increase	2% annual increase	1% annual increase	5% annual increase	1.0% annual increase	3.0% annual increase	3.0% annual increase	3% annual increase	50% of RVMPO annual allocation of STP funds 2% inc.	1 Time Projects with expenses included in CY	First Year Actual - RVTD Asset replac. Plan	\$730k annually		

Table 7 – RVTB Expenditure Assumptions

Tier 1 Expenses							
Tier 1 Expenses X 1,000							
Year	Ops	Alt Ops	Maint	Support SVCS	Admin	Capital Projects	TOTALS
2016	\$4,079	\$1,807	\$2,442	\$974	\$932	\$4,043	\$14,277
2017	\$4,283	\$1,879	\$2,540	\$1,013	\$969	\$0	\$10,684
2018	\$4,497	\$1,954	\$2,641	\$1,053	\$1,008	\$2,205	\$13,360
2019	\$4,722	\$2,033	\$2,747	\$1,096	\$1,048	\$0	\$11,645
2020	\$4,958	\$2,114	\$2,857	\$1,139	\$1,090	\$2,205	\$14,364
2021	\$5,206	\$2,198	\$2,971	\$1,185	\$1,134	\$0	\$12,694
2022	\$5,466	\$2,286	\$3,090	\$1,232	\$1,179	\$1,103	\$14,357
2023	\$5,740	\$2,378	\$3,214	\$1,282	\$1,226	\$0	\$13,839
2024	\$6,027	\$2,473	\$3,342	\$1,333	\$1,276	\$1,103	\$15,553
2025	\$6,328	\$2,572	\$3,476	\$1,386	\$1,327	\$0	\$15,088
2026	\$6,644	\$2,675	\$3,615	\$1,442	\$1,380	\$1,103	\$16,858
2027	\$6,976	\$2,782	\$3,759	\$1,499	\$1,435	\$0	\$16,452
2028	\$7,325	\$2,893	\$3,910	\$1,559	\$1,492	\$1,103	\$18,282
2029	\$7,692	\$3,009	\$4,066	\$1,622	\$1,552	\$0	\$17,940
2030	\$8,076	\$3,129	\$4,229	\$1,687	\$1,614	\$1,103	\$19,837
2031	\$8,480	\$3,254	\$4,398	\$1,754	\$1,678	\$0	\$19,565
2032	\$8,904	\$3,384	\$4,574	\$1,824	\$1,746	\$1,103	\$21,535
2033	\$9,349	\$3,520	\$4,757	\$1,897	\$1,815	\$0	\$21,338
2034	\$9,817	\$3,661	\$4,947	\$1,973	\$1,888	\$1,103	\$23,388
2035	\$10,307	\$3,807	\$5,145	\$2,052	\$1,964	\$0	\$23,275
2036	\$10,823	\$3,959	\$5,351	\$2,134	\$2,042	\$1,103	\$25,412
2037	\$11,364	\$4,118	\$5,565	\$2,220	\$2,124	\$0	\$25,390
2038	\$11,932	\$4,282	\$5,787	\$2,308	\$2,209	\$1,103	\$27,622
2039	\$12,529	\$4,454	\$6,019	\$2,401	\$2,297	\$0	\$27,699
2040	\$13,155	\$4,632	\$6,260	\$2,497	\$2,389	\$1,103	\$30,035
2041	\$13,813	\$4,817	\$6,510	\$2,597	\$2,485	\$0	\$30,221
2042	\$14,504	\$5,010	\$6,770	\$2,700	\$2,584	\$1,103	\$32,671
Totals	\$218,916	\$83,274	\$112,538	\$44,886	\$42,950	\$16,541	\$519,105
Assumptions	5% annual increase	4% annual increase	4% annual increase	4% annual increase	4% annual increase	First Year Actual - RVTB Asset replac. Plan	

Table 8 – RVTD Revenue & Expenditure Summaries

Tier 1 Revenue Summary					
Revenue Source	Fund	Time Frame			Totals
		Short	Medium	Long	
Federal	S5307	\$13,320	\$30,589	\$58,712	\$102,622
	Title XIX	\$1,720	\$3,559	\$5,848	\$11,128
	TDM/Rideshare	\$716	\$1,382	\$2,047	\$4,145
State	STF	\$3,684	\$9,383	\$21,013	\$34,080
	In-Lieu-of (Tax)	\$1,546	\$2,983	\$4,417	\$8,946
Local	Property Taxes	\$12,468	\$27,658	\$50,412	\$90,538
	Farebox Returns	\$6,371	\$14,132	\$25,759	\$46,262
	Special Levy	\$8,037	\$0	\$0	\$8,037
Other Federal	RVMPD STP	\$4,910	\$10,161	\$16,697	\$31,768
	5309 & Capital	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$6,000	\$15,000
	5310	\$3,650	\$6,570	\$8,760	\$18,980
Fund Reserves C/O		\$33,400	\$5,200	\$0	\$38,600
Totals		\$93,821	\$116,618	\$199,665	\$410,105
Tier 1 Expense Summary					
Expenses		Time Frame			Totals
		Short	Medium	Long	
Operations		\$23,666	\$60,274	\$134,976	\$218,916
Alt Operations		\$10,179	\$24,197	\$48,899	\$83,274
Maintenance		\$13,756	\$32,700	\$66,082	\$112,538
Administration		\$5,250	\$12,480	\$25,221	\$42,950
Capital Projects		\$4,411	\$5,514	\$6,616	\$16,541
Support Svcs		\$5,487	\$13,042	\$26,357	\$44,886
Sub-total		\$62,748	\$148,207	\$308,151	\$519,105
Shortfall		\$0	(\$31,588)	(\$108,485)	(\$109,000)

Table 9 – RVTD Revenue & Expenditure Assumptions

Assumptions				
Tier 1 Revenues	Assumptions			
S5307	\$2.5M in 2017; 3.5% annual increase			
Title XIX	\$330K in 2017; 2% annual increase			
TDM/Rideshare	\$140K in 2017; 1% annual increase			
STF	\$667K in 2017; 5% annual increase			
In-Lieu-of (Tax)	\$303K in 2017, 1% annual increase			
Property Taxes	\$2.3M in 2017; 3.0% annual increase			
Farebox Returns	\$1.2M in 2017; 3% annual increase			
RVMPPO STP	50% of RVMPPO projected STP allocation -assuming agreement renewed			
5309	ODOT long range financial projections & RVTD estimate for 2016			
5310				
Tier 1 Expenses	Assumptions			
Operations	\$4.2M in 2017; 5% annual increase			
Alt Operations	\$2M in 2017; 4% annual increase			
Maintenance	\$2.5M in 2017; 4% annual increase			
Administration	\$1M in 2017; 4% annual increase			
Support Svcs	\$1M in 2017; 4% annual increase			
Capital Projects	See column as amount changes			



Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization

Regional Transportation Planning

Ashland • Central Point • Eagle Point • Jacksonville • Medford • Phoenix • Talent • White City
Jackson County • Rogue Valley Transportation District • Oregon Department of Transportation

DATE: July 6, 2016
TO: Technical Advisory Committee
FROM: Dan Moore, Planning Program Manager
SUBJECT: 2017-2042 RTP Draft Project Lists

Staff coordinated with RVMPO member jurisdictions to identify short, medium and long range projects to be included in the 2017–2042 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The draft project lists are attached to this memo. Also attached is a draft Tier 2 project list.

The RTP, like the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), includes projects that meet federal guidelines, specifically: regionally significant (generally adding travel lanes) and federally funded. Any project that adds system capacity (other than local street expansion) must be included for air quality conformity. The project list in the RTP must also be financially-constrained; meaning that funding to build the projects is reasonably expected to be available at the time of construction. The RVMPO typically uses discretionary Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds, in addition to local funds, to supplement short, medium and long range RTP projects in order to demonstrate financial constraint. Estimated ODOT Enhance funds for the RVMPO area are also used in the discretionary funding formula.

Federal planning requirements limit RTP projects to those which full funding has been identified. The anticipated funding must be reasonable and based on the RTP's approved financial forecast. However, more projects are planned by RVMPO member jurisdictions than funding is available. Based on the draft RTP financial forecast, there is adequate MPO discretionary funding (STBG, CMAQ & Enhance) to supplement the funds needed for several short range projects (through 2021). Discretionary funds become scarcer in the medium and long range RTP timeframes, which limits the number of projects that can be included on the 2017-42 financially-constrained project lists. Table 1 below depicts the breakdown of discretionary funding.

Table 1 – Discretionary Funding Needs (\$ x 1,000)

Discretionary Funding Needs		Balance	Potential Funding	CMAQ	STBG	Enhance
Short Range Street System Need	\$4,067	\$7,842	\$11,909	\$3,995	\$3,054	\$4,860
Medium Range Street System Need	\$56,053	-\$17,605	\$38,449	\$13,350	\$10,518	\$14,580
Long Range Street System Need	\$178,399	-\$119,643	\$58,756	\$21,485	\$17,831	\$19,440
Totals	\$238,519	-\$129,405	\$109,114	\$38,830	\$31,403	\$38,880

The anticipated amounts of CMAQ, STBG and Enhance funding for the short, medium and long range RTP timeframes are shown in Table 1 above under the “Potential Funding” column. The second column under “Discretionary Funding Needs,” shows the amount of funding needed to fully-fund proposed RTP projects for the short, medium and long range timeframes. The third column shows the balances for each timeframe after applying the potential funding for each timeframe. There is a balance of \$7.8 million in the short range and deficits of \$17 million and \$120 million in the medium and long range timeframes respectively

For discussion purposes, the draft project lists show how much MPO discretionary funds would be needed to fully fund each project. In order to demonstrate financial constraint, the TAC will need to identify projects to receive RVMPO discretionary funding, and which projects will move to the Tier 2 list. The Tier 2 list will include projects that are needed, but not funded. Once funding is identified, the RVMPO may list them in the RTP projects list. Tier 2 projects cannot be relied upon for metropolitan planning purposes. They are not considered to be planned projects in the RTP. However, they can be analyzed and listing these projects in Tier 2 serves to identify unmet needs.

Jurisdictions should come to the meeting prepared to recommend their Tier 2 projects.

Short Range 2017 - 2021								
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Ashland								
120	Laurel St. RR Crossing	R/R X-ing improvements, surface improvements	short	\$ 813,552			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
160	Hersey St: N. Main to Oak St Sidewalk	Sidewalk Construction	short	\$ 591,776			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
161	E. Nevada Street Extension	Extend street over Bear Creek to link roadway at Kestrell; sidewalks, bicycle lanes	short	\$ 5,055,500			Non-Exempt	PM10
162	Independent Way	Extend street from Washington St to Tolman Creek Rd; sidewalks, bicycle lanes	short	\$ 1,055,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
Ashland Short Range (2017-2021)			Total	\$ 7,515,828	\$ 5,635,000	\$ 1,880,828		
Central Point								
No Short Range Projects Proposed			short	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	NA	NA
Central Point Short Range (2017-2021)			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Eagle Point								
330	Stevens Road - East Main Street to Robert Trent Jones	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	short	\$ 2,071,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
331	Linn Rd: OR62 to Buchanan	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	short	\$ 2,097,403			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
329	South Shasta Avenue - Alta Vista Road to Arrowhead Trail (Phase I)	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	short	\$ 450,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Eagle Point Short Range (2017-2021)			Total	\$ 4,618,403	\$ 5,385,000	\$ -		
Jackson County								
809	Foothill Rd., Corey Rd. to Atlantic St.	New two lane rural major collector, add signal	short	\$ 1,800,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
810	Regional Active Transportation Plan		short	\$ 200,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
821	Table Rock Rd: I-5 Crossing to Biddle	Widen to 3 & 5 Lanes, curb, gutter, & Sidewalk + bike lanes	short	\$ 7,883,540			Non-Exempt	PM10/CO
873	Table Rock Rd. at Gregory	New traffic signal	short	\$ 350,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
874	Kirtland to Gold Ray	Rogue River Greenway extension	short	\$ 400,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Jackson County Short Range (2017-2021)			Total	\$ 10,633,540	\$ 9,253,000	\$ 1,380,540		
Jacksonville								
No Short Range Projects Proposed			short	\$ -				
Jacksonville Short Range (2017-2021)			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
ODOT								
903	OR 62: I-5 to Dutton Road (Medford), JTA Phase	Right of Way Acquisition and construct phase funded by Oregon Jobs and Transportation Act	short	\$ 118,485,000			Non-Exempt	PM10/CO
906	I-5 S. Medford - N. Ashland Paving	Grid/Inlay	short	\$ 7,358,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
907	Antelope Road, White City	CNG Fueling Station	short	\$ 2,213,575			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
908	Jackson & Josephine Counties	Sign and Delineation Upgrades	short	\$ 729,191			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
910	Jackson County	I-5: Barnett Road Overpass Deck Overlay	short	\$ 759,600			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
912	OR99 Ashland Creek Bridge	Repair Concrete Deterioration, Bridge #0M274	short	\$ 660,460			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
913	I-5: Siskiyou Rest Area (Ashland)	Relocate rest area at new location	short	\$ 14,715,185			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
914	I-5 Southern Oregon	Install cable barriers at various locations	short	\$ 2,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
917	Hwy 62 & Hwy 140 Intersection Improvements	Relocate signal, modify lane configuration	short	\$ 1,622,500			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
945	OR99: Rapp Road to Ashland	Reducing to 3 lanes, consolidating accesses, adding bike/ped improvements	short	\$ 3,341,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
946	I-5: Bear Creek Bridges NB & SB, Scour Repair	Scour Repair, Bridges 08771N & 08771S	short	\$ 1,994,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
950	I-5 California State Line - Ashland Paving	Grind/Inlay	short	\$ 13,631,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
953	OR99: Laurel Street Signal Upgrade	Upgrade traffic signal	short	\$ 620,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
954	Rogue Valley VMS Replacement Project	Replace boards: I-5/MTN Ave, I-5 Table Rock, Hwy 199	short	\$ 700,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
955	I-5 Medford Viaduct	Environmental Assessment Study	short	\$ 4,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
956	OR-99: Coleman Crk to Birch Street	Add transit signal Prioritization Ashland through Medford.	short	\$ 7,300,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
ODOT Short Range (2017-2021) Total			Total	\$ 180,629,511	\$ 180,629,511	\$ -		

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Medford								
5012	Columbus Ave, McAndrews to Sage	Realign, extend Columbus to Sage Rd, and widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	short	\$4,000,000			Non-Exempt	PM10/CO
5014	Delta Waters Rd, Provincial to Foothill	Widen to three lanes with curb, gutter, bike lanes and sidewalks	short	\$1,200,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5015	Springbrook at Spring	Install new traffic signal	short	\$575,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5016	4th at Riverside	Extend NBR lane (City/MURA)	short	\$500,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5017	Main St at Barneburg	Install new traffic signal	short	\$300,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5018	Crater Lake at Jackson	Add left-turn lanes on all approaches and protect movements	short	\$2,500,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5019	Cherry Lane, N Phoenix Rd to Hillcrest	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks (North Phoenix Road to 500 feet west of Stanford Avenue)	short	\$1,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5020	Arterial and collector streets as needed	Install ITS equipment to facilitate traffic flow and enhance system communications	short	\$400,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5021	Oak Grove Rd, Medford UGB to Hwy 238	Widen to two & three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	short	\$1,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
Medford Short Range (2017-2021) Total			Total	\$12,475,000	\$ 13,089,000	\$ -		
Phoenix								
627	N. Church: W. 1st to w. 6th & N. Pine W. 1st to W. 5th	Asphalt overlay, roadway widening to City standards, curb, gutter, sidewalks and storm drainage, AC waterline replacement, sharrows	short	\$ 1,197,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Phoenix Short Range (2017-2021) Total			Total	\$ 1,197,000	\$ 392,000	\$ 805,000		
Talent								
No Short Range Projects Proposed			short	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Talent Short Range (2017-2021) Total			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Rogue Valley Transportation District (RVTD)								
1054	TDM Rideshare Projects: Transportation Demand Management program operated by Rogue Valley Transportation District, 2015 program		short	\$ 150,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1057	Urban Operations Support, FFY2015		short	\$ 4,900,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1058	Urban Operations Support, FFY2016		short	\$ 5,000,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1059	Urban Operations Support, FFY2017		short	\$ 5,100,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1060	Urban Operations Support, FFY2018		short	\$ 5,200,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1064	Capitalization of Maintenance (MPO STP Transfer, FFY2015)		short	\$ 1,047,769			Exempt - Table 2	
1065	Capitalization of Maintenance (MPO STP Transfer, FFY2016)		short	\$ 1,034,726			Exempt - Table 2	
1066	Capitalization of Maintenance (MPO STP Transfer, FFY2017)		short	\$ 1,049,214			Exempt - Table 2	
1067	Capitalization of Maintenance (MPO STP Transfer FFY2018)		short	\$ 1,063,903			Exempt - Table 2	
1073	Valley Feeder		short	\$ 111,445			Exempt - Table 2	
1077	Drive Less Connect Outreach		short	\$ 149,000			Exempt - Table 2	
1078	E-Fare System		short	\$ 764,516			Exempt - Table 2	
1078	FTA 5310 E&D Transit Capital STP Transfer (2015-2017)		short	\$ 1,329,533			Exempt - Table 2	
1079	FTA 5310 Enhanced Mobility Program (2016)		short	\$ 233,042			Exempt - Table 2	
1080	FTA 5310 Enhanced Mobility Program (2015)		short	\$ 233,042			Exempt - Table 2	
RVTD Short Range (2017-2021) Total			Total	\$ 27,366,191	\$ 27,366,191			
Total Short Range (2017-2021)					\$ 244,435,473	\$ 241,749,702	\$ 4,066,368	MPO Discretionary Funds Needed
							\$ 11,909,000	Short Range Discretionary Funds Available
							\$ 7,842,632	Balance

Medium Range 2022 - 2030								
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Ashland								
163	Intersection Improvements: Ashland-Oak Knoll-E. Main	Realign intersection, install speed-reduction treatments	medium	\$ 1,184,195			Exempt - Table 2	PM10
Ashland Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 1,184,195	\$ 6,499,000	\$ -		
Central Point								
215	OR 99: Traffic Calming Unit 3	Traffic Calming	medium	\$ 259,043			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
233	E. Pine Street Downtown Improvement Projects	New Sidewalks, street lights, and new signals at 2nd and 4th Streets. New Pedestrian Crossing at 6th Street	Medium	\$ 5,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Central Point Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 5,259,043	\$ 23,422,000	\$ -		
Eagle Point								
322	North Royal Avenue - Loto Street to E. Archwood Drive	Little Butte Creek Pedestrian Trail	medium	\$ 157,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
325	Arrowhead Trail - Black Wolf lane to Pebble Creek Blvd	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 2,344,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
326	Buchanan Avenue - Linn Road to Fargo Street	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 144,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
334	South Royal Avenue - OR62 to Loto Street	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 5,060,955			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
342	South Shasta Avenue - Highway 62 to Arrowhead Trail (Phase II)	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 1,751,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
323	Barton Road - Highway 62 to Reese Creek Road	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
327	Havenwood Drive - Barton Road to UGB	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 260,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
308	Sienna Hills Drive - Barton Road to UGB	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	medium	\$ 416,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 10,632,955	\$ 2,251,000	\$ 8,381,955		
Jackson County								
858	Foothill Rd., Delta Waters to Coker Butte	Improve (widen) to rural collector standards	medium	\$ 2,220,366			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
859	Foothill Rd., Coker Butte to Vilas	Improve (widen) to rural collector standards	medium	\$ 2,220,366			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
875	Gold Ray Rd, Blackwell Rd to Upper River Rd.	Rogue River Greenway extension	medium	\$ 2,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Jackson County Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 6,440,733	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,440,733		
Jacksonville								
No Medium Range Projects Proposed			medium	\$ -				
Jacksonville Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ -	\$ 485,000	\$ -		

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
ODOT								
957	OR-99: Birch Street to Garfield	Add sidewalks and bikelanes; Upgrade Storm Drain	Medium	\$ 10,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
958	OR-99: Talent to Phoenix	Restripe to 3-lane cross section; Add transit pullouts	Medium	\$ 3,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
959	OR-140 @ Agate and @ Leigh Way	Improve intersections alignments and change thru movement to favor the highway alignment.	Medium	\$ 7,000,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10
ODOT Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$ -		
Medford								
5022	Highland Dr at Main St	Install new traffic signal	medium	\$485,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5023	Crater Lake Ave, Delta Waters to Owen Drive	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	medium	\$1,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5024	Barnett at N. Phoenix	Widen and add WBR lane and second EBL lane	medium	\$125,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5025	Crater Lake at Delta Waters	Add EBL and WBL turn lanes and protect movements. Add EBR lane	medium	\$4,600,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5026	Main at Columbus	Add NBL and SBL lanes and protect movements. Extend second WB lane further west. Add SBR lane.	medium	\$1,500,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5027	Springbrook, Cedar Links to Delta Waters	Widen to three lanes with curb, gutter, bike lanes and sidewalks	medium	\$3,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5028	Highland, Barnett Rd to Siskiyou Blvd	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	medium	\$2,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5029	Arterial or collector locations as needed	2070 signal controller upgrades	medium	\$650,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5030	Arterial and collector streets as needed	Install ITS equipment to facilitate traffic flow and enhance system communications	medium	\$200,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5031	10th Street Bridge at Bear Creek	Repair bridge (assume 80% federal share/20% city share – city share shown)	medium	\$2,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5032	Garfield, Holly to Kings Highway	Widen to provide curb, gutter, bike lanes and sidewalk	medium	\$1,602,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5033	Lozier Lane, Stewart to Jacksonville Hwy	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	medium	\$8,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
Medford Medium Range (2022-2030)				Total	\$26,662,000	\$ 5,270,000	\$21,392,000	

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Phoenix								
628	Urban Reserve Areas PH-5, PH-10	Construct new street network	Medium	\$20,000,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
629	Rose St, Oak to 1st	Install sideawalks	Medium	\$346,500			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
630	Camp Baker Road, Hilsinger to Colver	new or improved sidewalks on both sides	Medium	\$445,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
631	Oak St. Rose to Main	Install sideawalks	Medium	\$363,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
611	Colver Rd., First St. to 4th	Widen and construct sidewalks, bike lanes	Medium	\$ 595,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
632	Colver Rd., First St. to Southern UGB Boundary	Construct multi-use path on east side	Medium	\$ 250,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Phoenix Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$21,999,500	\$ 1,551,000	\$20,448,500		
Talent								
717	Rapp Rd.: 150' South of Graham Way to Wagner Creek Rd.	Rebuild and upgrade to urban major collector standard (widen lanes, add bicyle lanes, sidewalks)	medium	\$ 3,430,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
728	Wagner St.: Talent Ave to West Valley View Rd.	Construct new collector street (50 feet)	medium	\$ 730,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
729	Wagner Creek Greenway Path: West Valley View Rd to Bear Creek Greenway	Construct new 10-foot-wide multimodal path near Wagner Creek connecting to Bear Creek Greenway (install new creek crossing)	medium	\$880,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Talent Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$ 5,040,000	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 3,390,000		
Rogue Valley Transportation District (RVTD)								
Medium Range Projects, Funding in Finacial Chapter			medium	\$65,788,000				
RVTD Medium Range (2022-2030)			Total	\$65,788,000	\$ 65,788,000			
Total Medium Range (2022-2030)				\$ 163,006,426	\$ 130,916,000	\$ 56,053,188	MPO Discretionary Funds Needed	
						\$ 38,449,000	Medium Range Discretionary Funds Available	
						\$ 7,842,632	Short Range Discretionary Funds Carryover	
						\$ (9,761,556)	Balance	

Long Range 2031 - 2042								
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Ashland								
164	Normal Avenue Extension	Extend roadway to East Main; sidewalks, bicycle lanes	long	\$ 5,916,032			Non-Exempt	PM10
165	Clear Creek Drive Extension	Extend road to connect with N. Mountain Ave.	long	\$ 4,601,359			Non-Exempt	PM10
Ashland Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 10,517,391	\$ 12,754,000	\$ -		
Central Point								
214	Scenic Ave., Mary's Way to Scenic Middle School	Widen to add bike lanes and sidewalks (urban upgrade)	long	\$ 865,078			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
219	Table Rock Rd. & Vilas Rd Intersection	Widen to add turn lanes	long	\$ 1,751,803			Exempt-Table 3	PM10
224	Scenic Ave, 10th St. to Scenic Middle School	Widen to add continuous turn lane with bike lanes and sidewalks	long	\$ 1,117,473			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
227	W. Pine St., Hanley St. to Haskell St.	Widen to add center turn lane, bike lanes , sidewalks	long	\$ 3,286,685			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
234	IAMP 33 - N. Bound off ramp	Add second right turn lane	long	\$ 1,300,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10
235	IAMP 33- South Bound on ramp	Add second left turn lane	long	\$ 1,700,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10
Central Point Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 10,021,039	\$ 18,382,000	\$ -		
Eagle Point								
343	Havenwood Drive - UGB to Rolling Hills Drive	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 260,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
344	Sienna Hills Drive - UGB to Rolling Hills Drive	Extension (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 416,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
335	Alta Vista Road - Robert Trent Jones to Riley Road	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 7,278,911			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
332	Alta Vista Road - S. Shasta Avenue to Robert Trent Jones	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 6,166,698			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
333	North Royal Avenue - Loto Street to Reese Creek Road	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 3,672,486			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
336	Hannon Road - West Linn Road to Nick Young Road	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 3,696,425			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
337	Nick Young Road - OR 62 to Hannon Road	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 611,323			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
338	Riley Road - Stevens Road to Alta Vista Road	Urban Upgrade (Arterial) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 10,315,808			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
339	West Linn Road - OR 62 to Dahlia Terrace	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 8,882,813			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
341	Reese Creek Road - Royal Ave to Barton Rd	Urban Upgrade (Collector) with Bike Lanes and Sidewalks	long	\$ 2,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Eagle Point Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 43,800,464	\$ 3,684,000	\$ 40,116,464		

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Jackson County								
860	Foothill Rd., Vilas to Corey	Improve (widen) to rural collector standards	long	\$ 3,286,685			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
861	Table Rock Rd., Mosquito to Antelope	Widen to 4 lanes	long	\$ 2,191,123			Non-Exempt	PM10
862	Old Stage Rd., Winterbrook to Taylor	Improve (widen) to rural collector standards	long	\$ 3,286,685			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
864	Foothill Rd., McAndrews to Delta Waters	Upgrade to 3 lane urban standard	long	\$ 43,822,463			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
866	Beall Ln., Highway 99 to Merriman	Upgrade to 3 lane urban standard	long	\$ 6,573,369			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
867	Stewart, Hull to Thomas	Upgrade to 3 lane urban standard	long	\$ 4,382,246			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
868	Kings Highway, S Stage to Medford UGB	Upgrade to 3 lane urban standard	long	\$ 3,286,685			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
869	Hanley Road, Beall to Pine	Upgrade to 3 lane urban standard	long	\$ 5,477,808			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
870	Beall Ln. at Bursell	New traffic signal	long	\$ 438,225			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
876	Upper River Rd., Gold Ray Rd to RVMPO Boundary	Rogue River Greenway extension	long	\$ 1,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
877	Old Stage Rd, Taylor to RVMPO Boundary	Rogue River Greenway extension	long	\$ 3,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Jackson County Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 77,245,288	\$ 6,600,000	\$ 70,645,288		
Jacksonville								
No Long Range Projects Proposed			long	\$ -				
Jacksonville Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ -	\$ 787,000	\$ -		
ODOT								
951	South Valley View Bridge Replacement	Realign and widen the Bear Creek Bridge over South Valley View Rd, located off Exit 19 near Ashland. It will also widen and add turning lanes to South Valley View Rd from the Interstate to Hwy 99 and connect peds and bikes with the Bear Creek Greenway.	Long	\$ 15,000,000			Exempt-Table 3	
960	OR-238: West Main to N. Ross Lane	Realign and widen highway; add adequate shoulders and/or bikelanes, add pedestrian improvements in urban areas.	Long	\$ 18,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	
ODOT Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 33,000,000	\$ -		

PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	TIMING	COST	Local Funds Available	MPO Discretionary Funds	Conformity Status	Within PM10/CO Maintenance Areas
Medford								
5034	Columbus at 4th	Install new traffic signal	long	\$350,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5035	10th at Columbus	Install new traffic signal	long	\$350,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5036	Barnett at Black Oak	Install SBR turn lane	long	\$750,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5037	Hillcrest at N. Phoenix	Add EBR turn lane and provide signal overlap	long	\$750,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5038	McAndrews at Royal	Add second NBL lane from Royal onto McAndrews	long	\$750,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5039	McAndrews at Springbrook	Add SBR lane	long	\$750,000			Exempt-Table 3	PM10/CO
5040	Black Oak, Hillcrest to Acorn	Widen to two lanes with curb, gutter and sidewalks	long	\$750,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5041	Cherry Lane, N Phoenix Rd to Hillcrest	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks (eastern ¾)	long	\$4,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
568	Lear Way, Coker Butte to Vilas	Construct new two lane road with bike lanes and sidewalks	long	\$2,500,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5042	Arterial and collector streets as needed	Install ITS equipment to facilitate traffic flow and enhance system communications	long	\$200,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5043	Foothill Rd, McAndrews to Delta Waters	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	long	\$50,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
5044	Kings Hwy, South Stage Rd to Stewart Ave	Widen to three lanes with bike lanes and sidewalks	long	\$4,000,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10/CO
Medford Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$65,650,000	\$ 3,300,000	\$62,350,000		
Phoenix								
633	Hilsinger, Colver Road to UGB Boundary	Total reconstruct with addition of bike lanes and sidewalks, stormwater management facilities	long	\$770,000			Exempt-Table 2	PM10
Phoenix Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$770,000	\$ 1,235,000	\$ -		
Talent								
720	Railroad District Collector: Belmont Rd. to Rapp Rd.	Construct new railroad district collector street	long	\$ 4,100,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
730	Belmont Rd.: Talent Ave to Railroad District Collector	Upgrade to collector standard and upgrade railroad crossing & restrict other crossings (Pleasant View, Hill Top)	long	\$ 800,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
731	Westside Bypass: Wagner Creek Rd/Rapp Rd to Colver Rd.	Construct new collector street west of city in Urban Reserve area TA-1	long	\$ 2,730,000			Non-Exempt	PM10
Talent Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$ 7,630,000	\$ 2,342,000	\$ 5,288,000		
Rogue Valley Transportation District (RVTD)								
Long Range Projects, Funding in Finacial Chapter			long	\$141,592,000				
RVTD Long Range (2031-2042)			Total	\$141,592,000	\$ 141,592,000			
Total Long Range (2031-2042)				\$ 389,456,182	\$ 221,654,000	\$ 178,399,752	MPO Discretionary Funds Needed	
						\$ 58,756,000	Long Range Discretionary Funds Available	
						\$ (119,643,752)	Balance	

2017 - 2042 RVMPO Tier 2 Project List			
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	COST
Ashland			
			\$ -
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	COST
Central Point			
			\$ -
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	COST
Eagle Point			
			\$0
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	COST
Jacksonville			
401	Pair-a-Dice Ranch Rd., OR 238 to city limits	Construct two lane truck route connection (city share w/ in UGB)	\$7,032,000
			\$7,032,000
PROJECT NUMBER	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	COST
Medford			
			\$0
Phoenix			
			\$0
Talent			
			\$0
Jackson County			
			\$0
ODOT			
			\$0
Tier 2 Total			\$7,032,000



Rogue Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization

Regional Transportation Planning

*Ashland • Central Point • Eagle Point • Jacksonville • Medford • Phoenix • Talent • White City
Jackson County • Rogue Valley Transportation District • Oregon Department of Transportation*

DATE: June 17, 2016
TO: RVMPO Technical Advisory Committee
FROM: Mike Kuntz, Technical Advisory Committee Chair
SUBJECT: Jurisdiction Attendance at RVMPO Technical Advisory Committee Meetings

In order to complete essential functions, TAC meetings must have a quorum which includes representation from six of the ten jurisdictions. Recently, there have been meetings lacking a quorum. When there is no quorum the business of the MPO is delayed and the time of the jurisdictions and guests that have shown up is being wasted. Please try to send at least one jurisdiction representative to most TAC meetings; your fellow jurisdictions will appreciate it.

Attendance rates by jurisdiction over the past two years are provided in the bar chart below. Please review on behalf of your jurisdiction.

2-Yr TAC Attendance Rates

June 2014 - June 2016

