# Summary Minutes Rogue Valley MPO Technical Advisory Committee December 13, 2017



## The following attended:

Voting Members	Organization	Phone Number
Alex Georgevitch	Medford	774-2114
Charles Bennett for Craig Anderson	Jackson County	774-6115
Dan Roberts	ODOT	774-6383
Ian Horlacher	ODOT	423-1362
Joshua Chandler for Ian Foster	Jacksonville	899-6873
Mike Kuntz, Chair	Jackson County	774-6228
Mike Upston	Eagle Point	826-4212
Paige West	RVTD	608-2429
Ray DiPasquale	Phoenix	535-2226
Tom Humphrey	Central Point	423-1025
Staff	Organization	Phone Number
Karl Welzenbach	RVCOG	423-1360
Dick Converse	RVCOG	423-1373
Ryan MacLaren	RVCOG	423-1338
Stephanie Thune	RVCOG	423-1368
Interested Parties	Organization	Phone Number
Chris Bucher	FHWA	503-316-2555
James A. Herndon	RVMPO PAC	840-0741
Mike Montero	Montero & Associates	944-4376

The full recording of this meeting can be accessed via this link: 171213 RVMPO TAC Meeting Audio

#### 1. Call to Order / Introductions / Review Agenda 00:00 – 01:32

1:35 | Quorum: Central Point, Eagle Point, Jacksonville, Medford, Phoenix, Jackson County, ODOT, RVTD

#### 2. Review / Approve Minutes 01:33 – 02:05

The Chair asked if there were any changes or additions to the minutes of the November 8 meeting.

Alex Georgevitch moved to approve the November 8 RVMPO TAC meeting minutes as presented. Tom Humphrey seconded.

There was no further discussion.

The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

3. Public Comment 02:06 – 02:13

#### Action Items

#### **4. RTP and TIP Amendments** 02:14 – 10:03

Ryan MacLaren reported briefly on three state-requested amendments to the 2018-2021 TIP and 2017-2042 RTP.

- **A** | Project being split and \$1.2 million added to construction.
- **B** | New project to add a shared multi-use path to connect Highway 99 to the Bear Creek Greenway in Talent (locator map).
- C | Additional funding was added to the RVTD TDM Ride Share project.

Details of all projects were included in the agenda packet for review and are available online at www.rvmpo.org. All three projects are using state (non-MPO) funds.

Project C will likely be renamed (via administrative amendment) to help distinguish it from another RVTD TDM and Rideshare project in the RTP. Both projects have separate Key Numbers and are funded from different ODOT sources, even though they both are part of RVTD's Transportation Options Program. Paige West clarified that the funds for Project C presented here are more closely connected to employer outreach and the Drive Less Connect Ride Share database.

**08:19** | Alex Georgevitch moved that the TAC Committee recommend approval to the Policy Committee of the proposed amendments to the 2018-2021 TIP and 2017-2042 RTP as presented. Ray DiPasquale seconded.

There was no further discussion.

The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

#### 5. Central Point CP-5/6 Concept Plan Review 10:04 – 48:05

Tom Humphrey provided background information on the Regional Problem Solving (RPS) process that gave valley jurisdictions the opportunity to create reserves around their Urban Growth Boundaries (UGBs). Six cities participated (Jacksonville withdrew before the Regional Plan was adopted), with five creating urban reserve areas (URAs) to accommodate the anticipated doubling of their populations over a fifty-year horizon (Ashland opted not to have any urban reserves). The established reserves can be drawn upon as needed to ensure a twenty-year supply of land for development.

Concept plans for land use and transportation must be developed for each URA in collaboration with the MPO in order to provide conceptual ideas as to what general land uses and transportation needs could be like over the coming twenty-year period. Humphrey delivered a <u>PowerPoint presentation</u> for Central Point's 5/6 Concept Plan; <u>supporting documentation</u> was available in the agenda packet. Highlights were as follows:

- Central Point has eight URAs; the two included in this request are CP-5A (30 acres, largely flood hazard area) and CP-6A (445 acres, 329 of which are Exclusive Farm Use [EFU]), which are adjacent to each other and lie on the western side of the UGB.
  - o Proposed land use percentages include:
    - Residential, 76%;
    - Employment, 4%; and
    - Parks and Open Spaces, 20%.
  - o Transportation determinations/considerations needed include:
    - Whether the roads (all are county roads) in the 5A/6A URA have regional significance in terms of arterials and bike/pedestrian pathways; and
    - Obtaining concurrence from the MPO.
- Property owners have been engaged in the concept plan's development via participation in a Citizen's Committee.
- There is still undeveloped land within the UGB and the Planning Department can demonstrate a need for 150 more acres of residential land use. In its Regional Plan, the City has agreed to an average city-wide density of 6.9 units/acre.
- The City is not obligated to put buffers between urban residential and rural residential land, though buffers are required between agricultural and urban land. Based on existing code, those buffers range from 50 200 feet.
- The Regional Housing Strategy may influence the concept plan's proposed land use designations by the time a UGB amendment becomes necessary (e.g. some of the proposed low-density areas may need to be re-classified as medium-density).

Comments from TAC members regarding the plan are desired so that they may be incorporated into a comment letter to be signed by the Policy Committee Chair and then filed with the Central Point City Council. This letter demonstrates to DLCD that the City has collaborated with the MPO regarding future development of CP-5A and CP-6A.

Dick Converse will draft the letter, which will be brought back to the TAC for review at their January 10 meeting and then forwarded to the Policy Committee for official endorsement at either their January 23 or February 27 meeting. Member comments regarding the CP 5/6 Concept Plan should be directed to Dick Converse prior to year-end.

#### Discussion Items

#### 6. Public Comment 48:06 – 48:14

### Regular Updates

#### 7. Updates on Currently Active RVMPO Projects 48:15 – 01:08:35

The spreadsheet of funded projects was reviewed; all jurisdictions present provided updates, which will be incorporated and made available for January's meeting.

#### 8. MPO Planning Update 01:08:36 – 01:15:46

- Performance Measure considerations remain on hold pending information from ODOT regarding the data/calculations used for the Safety Performance Measure figures they provided.
- CMAQ carryover balance amounts remain unclear for both MPOs.

#### 9. Other Business / Local Business 01:15:47 – 01:15:52

# **10. Adjournment** 01:15:53 – 01:15:58 2:52 p.m.

#### Scheduled Meetings

RVMPO Policy Committee \*Special Meeting\* | December 14, 2017 | 10:00 a.m.

RVMPO Policy Committee | January 23, 2018 | 2:00 p.m.

RVMPO TAC | January 10, 2018 | 1:30 p.m.