

**Summary Minutes  
Rogue Valley MPO Technical Advisory Committee  
January 10, 2018**



The following attended:

<b>Voting Members</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Alex Georgevitch	Medford	774-2114
Craig Anderson	Jackson County	774-6907
Dan Roberts	ODOT	774-6383
Jon Sullivan, <b>Vice Chair</b>	RVTD	608-2448
Kyle Kearns	Medford	774-2380
John Vial	Jackson County	774-6238
Mike Upston	Eagle Point	826-4212
Paige West	RVTD	608-2429
Ray DiPasquale	Phoenix	535-2226
Tom Humphrey	Central Point	423-1025
<b>Alternate Voting Members</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Charles Bennett	Jackson County	774-6115
<b>Staff</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Karl Welzenbach	RVCOG	423-1360
Dick Converse	RVCOG	423-1373
Andrea Napoli	RVCOG	423-1369
Ryan MacLaren	RVCOG	423-1338
Stephanie Thune	RVCOG	423-1368

Interested Parties	Organization	Phone Number
James A. Herndon	RVMPO PAC	840-0741
Mike Montero	Montero & Associates	944-4376

[RVMPO TAC January 10, 2018 Agenda Packet](#)

Full meeting recording: [170110 RVMPO TAC Meeting Audio](#)

**1. Call to Order / Introductions / Review Agenda 00:00 – 00:50**

1:30 | *Quorum*: Central Point, Eagle Point, Medford, Phoenix, Jackson County, ODOT, RVTD

- Agenda Item 6 “Central Point CP-5/6 concept Plan Review” was re-classified as an action item and addressed as Item 5, with the “Rogue Bike Share” presentation moving to Item 6.

**2. Review / Approve Minutes 00:51 – 01:12**

The Vice Chair asked if there were any changes or additions to the minutes of the December 13 meeting.

**00:59 | Tom Humphrey moved to approve the December 13 RVMPO TAC meeting minutes as presented. Alex Georgevitch seconded.**

There was no further discussion.

*The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.*

**3. Public Comment 01:13 – 01:16**

**Action Items**

**4. RTP and TIP Amendments 01:17 – 04:33**

Ryan MacLaren reported briefly on two state-requested amendments to the 2018-2021 TIP; they are TIP amendments only, not RTP.

- **A** | Speed signs for Siskiyou Pass on I-5 could potentially be installed inside of the RVMPO boundary just south of Ashland. (If, however, no signs are ultimately installed inside the boundary, there will be another TIP amendment to remove the project.)
- **B** | Construction phase added for Salt Pilot project.

Both projects are using state (non-MPO) funds. Details of all projects were included in the agenda packet for review and are available online at [www.rvmppo.org](http://www.rvmppo.org).

**02:34 | Alex Georgevitch moved that the TAC Committee recommend approval to the Policy Committee of the proposed amendments to the 2018-2021 TIP as presented. Mike Upston seconded.**

There was no further discussion.

*The motion carried unanimously by voice vote.*

## **5. Central Point CP-5/6 Concept Plan Review 04:34 – 49:03**

The draft comment letter prepared by Dick Converse was reviewed at length; full commentary may be reviewed via the hyperlink. It is hoped that ongoing refinements to the language contained in the comment letter will preclude any content being misconstrued and/or the primary intent of the letter being obscured.

The MPO's collaboration with the various jurisdictions when they develop/present their Concept Plans takes place mainly to ensure that any issues with connectivity between communities and/or compliance with the Concept Plan's transportation factors are adequately addressed. Concept Plans are not themselves land use or transportation action plans; rather, they are instruments used to capture ideas regarding reasonably anticipated and potentially feasible future land and transportation system development/utilization. They facilitate a thoughtful, collaborative approach to future development and act as a foundational reference for the drafting of UGB amendment documents.

Next steps in the Central Point CP-5/6 Concept Plan Review include:

- Converse will revise the draft comment letter per today's discussion (see sub-bullets) and per any pursuant comment received.
  - Anywhere in letter
    - Statement to the effect that "Revisions to the Concept Plan are possible and even likely up until such time as a UGB Amendment is drafted; any significant Concept Plan revisions will be brought to the MPO for consideration/comment."
  - Paragraph 4
    - The specific land use percentages given in the Concept Plan will be incorporated to support the language in the last paragraph of "...the conceptual plans ... *are consistent with* other Regional Plan performance indicators."
  - Last paragraph
    - The word "finds" will be replaced with "has determined."
- Tom Humphrey will communicate/collaborate with Jackson County, DLCD and any other jurisdictions to address concerns related to the Plan, including:
  - the extent to which work completed on the Plan to-date needs/ does not need to demonstrate compliance with the Performance Indicators; and
  - whether the Concept Plan would return to the MPO for review if it undergoes significant changes prior to its evolution into a UGB Amendment.
    - Any further commentary/content for the draft comment letter will be forwarded to Converse for inclusion.
- The revised draft comment letter will be brought to the TAC for final review at their February 14 meeting.
- Humphrey will present the Concept Plan with the accompanying draft comment letter to the RVMPO Policy Committee at their February 27 meeting.
- The Concept Plan will be presented to the Central Point Planning Commission and City Council during those entities' upcoming scheduled meeting dates.

## ***Presentations***

### **6. Rogue Bike Share 49:04 – 01:16:01**

Andrea Napoli gave a comprehensive [PowerPoint presentation](#) detailing the Rogue Bike Share's history, current status and ideas for future development.

### Highlights:

- RVCOG took administration of the program over from the United Way in April 2017.
- Pilot program is underway in Ashland and showing good usage results to-date.
- Adjustments to station locations will be made as needed based on data collected; excellent station visibility plays a key role in usage.
- Expansion to other communities will ideally take place in the future, but will depend on funding and forecasted benefit/usage to those regions.

### *Discussion Items*

#### **7. Public Comment 01:26:49 – 01:26:55 (addressed after Item 9)**

### *Regular Updates*

#### **8. Updates on Currently Active RVMPO Projects 01:16:02 – 01:19:55**

The spreadsheet of funded projects was reviewed; all jurisdictions present provided updates, which will be incorporated and made available for February's meeting.

#### **9. MPO Planning Update 01:19:56 – 01:26:48**

- CMAQ carryover balance amounts remain unclear for both MPOs.
- Performance Measures
  - Safety Performance Measures must be adopted in February; an action item will be placed on the February 14 TAC agenda. Adopting the state's measures as presented (despite lack of clarification by ODOT regarding how calculations were made/figures were determined) is recommended at this time by RVCOG staff.
  - Welzenbach will attend a training for Freight and CMAQ Performance Measures in March.
    - Adopting the state's measures is recommended for Freight (e.g. bridge and pavement repair).
    - The MPO will not be impacted by CMAQ measures until 2021.
      - Clarification from the FHWA is being sought as to whether PM<sub>10</sub> and CO monitors are eligible for purchase with CMAQ funds.
- Transportation Planning Rule teleconference update.

#### **10. Other Business / Local Business 01:27:09 – 01:27:23**

#### **11. Adjournment 01:27:24 – 01:27:28**

2:59 p.m.

### Scheduled Meetings

RVMPO Policy Committee | January 23, 2018 | 2:00 p.m.

RVMPO TAC | February 14, 2018 | 1:30 p.m.