

**Summary Minutes
Rogue Valley MPO Technical Advisory Committee
July 10, 2019**



The following attended:

Voting Members	Organization	Phone Number
Alex Georgevitch, Vice Chair	Medford PW	774-2114
Charles Bennett	Jackson County PL	774-6115
Dan Roberts	ODOT	774-6383
Ian Horlacher	ODOT	423-1362
Josh LeBombard	DLCD (quorum)	414-7932
Kyle Kearns	Medford PL	774-2375
Matt Samitore	Central Point PW	664-3321 x205
Melissa Lowry	RVTD PL	608-2448
Mike Kuntz	Jackson County R&P	774-6228
Paige West	RVTD PL	608-2429
Robert Miller	Eagle Point PW	826-4212
Tom Humphrey, Chair	Central Point PL	423-1025
Alternate Voting Members Present	Organization	Phone Number
Staff	Organization	Phone Number
Karl Welzenbach	RVCOG	423-1360
Ryan MacLaren	RVCOG	423-1338
Rebecca Schexnayder	RVCOG	423-1375
Interested Parties	Organization	Phone Number
Shandell Clark	Jackson County PL	774-6519

[RVMPO TAC July 10, 2019 Agenda Packet](#)

Full meeting recording: [2019-07-10 RVMPO TAC Meeting Audio](#)

1. Call to Order / Introductions / Review Agenda

1:42p.m. | Committee discussions before quorum was met.

2:02p.m. | *Quorum*: Central Point, Eagle Point, Medford, Jackson County, ODOT, RVTD

2. Review / Approve Minutes 31:16–32:16

31:56 | *Charles Bennett moved to approve the RVMPO TAC June 6, 2019 meeting minutes as presented. Seconded by Mike Kuntz.*

No further discussion.

Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Action Items

3. 2018–2021 RVMPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendments 32:17–44:38

40:58 | *Mike Kuntz moved to recommend to the Policy Committee approval of the 2018–2021 STIP Rebalance Amendments while requesting that MPO staff provide further details on the projects/funds to the Policy Committee as well as to the TAC—electronically. Seconded by Ian Horlacher.*

42:30 | *Paige West: Are these funds being transferred to other projects in Region 3 or are they being transferred to other projects outside of Region 3 in the State?*

42:49 | *Dan Roberts: Everything we rebalanced stayed within our region, some split across districts, but the funds stayed within the Region.*

The TAC requested complete verification that ODOT’s STIP rebalance kept all funds within the region.

No further discussion.

Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

4. Letter of Support for the City of Central Point’s Urban Reserve Area (URA) CP-2B

44:39–01:07:56

53:39 | *Josh LeBombard moved to recommend to the Policy Committee the approval of the letter of support for Central Point’s URA with language additions in regards to Performance Indicator 2.6, which speaks more precisely to meeting the benchmark targets from the RTP regarding the number of dwelling units and employment to take place in mixed-use pedestrian friendly areas. Seconded by Alex Georgevitch.*

Further discussion ensued about proximity of transit routes/stops to the proposed URA, CP-2B.

Charles Bennett, representing Jackson County Planning, abstained.

Motion carried by voice vote.

Discussion Items

5. Call for Projects for the TIP and Discussion of Project Application Process

12:00–31:15, 01:07:57–01:32:55

During part of this agenda item discussion, TAC members discussed issues relating to applications for project funding and the approval process, specifically regarding the MPO having project oversight after fund exchanges, how IGAs are written, and how closely jurisdictions should have to follow what is in the brief project descriptions of the IGAs.

24:51 | K. Welzenbach: Should there be a policy from the MPO that even if a project will be fund exchanged or not, that the projects move forward as applied for?

27:53 | A. Georgevitch: If someone comes in and says we are going to put in very specific facilities and that convinces us to vote for it, there’s an expectation that those facilities are going to be built. What if the one-line project description in the ODOT IGA doesn’t include that detail.

28:17 | Mike Kuntz: Can we work with ODOT to say if the MPO approves a project description that that description is to be used in the IGA?

TAC members agreed that further discussion on the above issue was needed and it should be brought back as a formal agenda item next month.

6. Bicycle/Pedestrian Project Applications

Item #6 was discussed as part of #5.

7. Public Comment

No public comment made.

Regular Updates

8. MPO Planning Update 01:32:56–01:33:58

9. Other Business / Local Business 00:00–12:00, 01:33:59–01:34:20

10. Adjournment

3:10p.m.

<i>Scheduled Meetings</i>
RVMPO TAC August 14, 2019 1:30 p.m.
RVMPO Policy Committee August 27, 2019 2:00 p.m.
RVMPO PAC September 17, 2019 5:30 p.m.