

**Summary Minutes  
Rogue Valley MPO Technical Advisory Committee  
November 18, 2020**



The following attended:

<b>Voting Members</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Alex Georgevitch, <b>Chair</b>	Medford   PW	774-2114
Charles Bennett	Jackson County   PL	774-6115
Ian Horlacher	ODOT	423-1362
Justin Shoemaker	ODOT	774-6376
Karl Johnson	Ashland   PW	448-5587
Matt Samitore	Central Point	664-3321 x205
Mike Kuntz	Jackson County   R&P	774-6228
Paige West	RVTD   PL	608-2429
<b>Alternate Voting Members Present</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
<b>Staff</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Karl Welzenbach	RVCOG	423-1360
Ryan MacLaren	RVCOG	423-1338
Kelsey Sharp	RVCOG	423-1375
<b>Interested Parties</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Jenna Marmon	ODOT	
Benjamin Haines	FHWA	
Tonia Morro	RVTD	
Mike Montero		

[Meeting Audio](#) [Item 1-4](#) [Item 5-9](#)

**1. Call to Order / Introductions / Review Agenda 00:00–02:42**

1:30 p.m. | *Quorum*: Ashland, Central Point, DLCD, Eagle Point, Medford, Jackson County, ODOT, RVTD.

Item 4 will be moved to before Item 3.

**2. Review / Approve Minutes 02:42–03:58**

**03:39** | *Ian Horlacher moved to approve the October 14, 2020 RVMPO TAC Meeting Minutes with corrections. Seconded by Paige West.*

*No further discussion.*

*Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.*

***Action Items***

**4. Amendments to the 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) 03:58 – 08:23**

Item moved to before Item 3

**07:27** | *Tom Humphrey moved to recommend approval of the TIP amendment of the RVTD Buss Procurements. Seconded by Charles Bennett*

*No further discussion.*

*Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.*

**3. Almeda Fire Application 08:23–01:15:54**

*Presentation provided by Jenna Marmon.*

*Clarification on this item being just a discussion item for now.*

*Currently the funding scenario of Safe Routes to School funds and STIP funds are \$8.4 million. To get to the smallest project break point is \$10 million.*

**26:42** | *Discussion of the different funding scenarios presented by Justin Shoemaker.*

*The main cost for these projects is the Right of Way costs, with most scenarios presenting over \$5 million.*

*Concerns are raised about the policy's the RVMPO Policy Committee has set about managing solicitations and awarding money.*

*31:58 | Question from Paige West: Some projects had pushback from Salem, did that get resolved, or are some projects still being worked?*

*The only project with an issue was the Expo Parking lot Paving. That project was retracted, and the funding was put aside for the Foothills Road Project.*

*There is a small amount of CMAQ Funds left over.*

*34:03 | Comment from Alex Georgevitch on making a recommendation to the MRMPO Policy Committee, if the MRMPO TAC wishes to move forward, to allow an exception for the solicitation and awarding of funds for this project.*

*35:37 | Comments from Tom Humphrey about the beginnings of this project and bringing in more local public comments for the project. Discussion of engage the community and talking to the cities and communities about the plans more.*

*43:55 | Comments from Mike Kuntz about the next round of funding in about two years and that this project was added to the plan.*

*If the project gets delayed, some of the funding already set aside would be lost.*

*55:36 | Clarification from Alex Georgevitch and Karl Welzenbach about willingness to allow for the exceptions for this project. As well as clarification that if the other projects discussed they will be put back on the list for the next round of funding.*

*59:13 | Question and clarification from Tom Humphrey: Did this project get submitted?*

*This project was never submitted for MPO funds. However, this project was once funded before the fire, and the funds were moved to other projects. Tom Humphrey raised concerns about engaging the mobile home park owners more and talking to them about the process and how it would affect the areas.*

*01:01:30 | Comment from Paige West: The OR 99 Corridor project that was adopted contains one of the cross sections from this proposed project.*

*Comments from Ian Horlacher clarifying how this project was planned.*

*01:05:03 | Question from Matt Samitore: Will the Clay Street project be moved forward, and if not, will that change the projected numbers presented.*

*The Clay Street Project has plans to be moved forward.*

*Jenna Marmon offered to answer any other questions and concerns. ODOT will continue working on this project.*

## ***Discussion Items***

### **5. RTP Projects and Revenue Streams 01:15:54–01:35:04**

*The total cost of projects being asked for is \$358 million. The total estimated revenue is \$394 million.*

*Clarification of projects provided by Ashland and ODOT.*

*Concerns were raised with how the revenue was calculated for Medford and Central Point.*

**6. Public Comment 01:35:04–01:35:59**

*Provided by Mike Montero.*

***Regular Updates***

**7. MPO Planning Update 01:35:59–01:43:31**

*Update on ODOT revenue projections, the State Funded Local Program (SFLP) and the original State fund exchange program, and the future of the MPO and converting into a TMA.*

**8. Other Business / Local Business 01:43:31–01:44:54**

**9. Adjournment**

3:15 p.m.

*Scheduled Meetings*

RVMPO TAC | December 9, 2020 | 1:30 p.m.

RVMPO Policy Committee | November 24, 2020 | 2:00 p.m.

RVMPO PAC | January 19, 2020 | 5:30 p.m.